

RADIANT OIL & GAS INC

FORM 10-Q (Quarterly Report)

Filed 05/20/14 for the Period Ending 03/31/14

Address	9700 RICHMOND AVE. SUITE 124 HOUSTON, TX 77042
Telephone	832-545-2490
CIK	0000928447
Symbol	ROGI
SIC Code	1311 - Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas
Industry	Misc. Financial Services
Sector	Financial
Fiscal Year	12/31

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014
or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-24688

Radiant Oil & Gas, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)

27-2425368

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

9700 Richmond Avenue, Suite 124

Houston, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

77042

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(832) 242-6000**

NONE

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class
Common Stock

Outstanding as of May 16, 2014
16,744,039

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	6
	Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	29
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	29

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	31
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	31
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	31
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	32
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	32
Item 5.	Other Information	32
Item 6.	Exhibits	32
	Signatures	33

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Radiant Oil & Gas, Inc. contains forward-looking statements relating to Radiant's operations that are based on management's current expectations, estimates and projections about the petroleum, chemicals and other energy-related industries. Words such as "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "targets," "projects," "believes," "seeks," "schedules," "estimates," "budgets" and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the company's control and are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements. The reader should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. Unless legally required, Radiant undertakes no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Among the important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements are: changing crude oil and natural gas prices; changing refining, marketing and chemical margins; actions of competitors or regulators; timing of exploration expenses; timing of crude oil liftings; the competitiveness of alternate-energy sources or product substitutes; technological developments; the results of operations and financial condition of equity affiliates; the inability or failure of the company's joint-venture partners to fund their share of operations and development activities; the potential failure to achieve expected net production from existing and future crude oil and natural gas development projects; potential delays in the development, construction or start-up of planned projects; the potential disruption or interruption of the company's net production or manufacturing facilities or delivery/transportation networks due to war, accidents, political events, civil unrest, severe weather or crude oil production quotas that might be imposed by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries; the potential liability for remedial actions or assessments under existing or future environmental statutes, regulations and litigation; the potential liability resulting from other pending or future litigation; the company's future acquisition or disposition of assets and gains and losses from asset dispositions or impairments; government-mandated sales, divestitures, recapitalizations, industry-specific taxes, changes in fiscal terms or restrictions on scope of company operations; foreign currency movements compared with the U.S. dollar; the effects of changed accounting rules under generally accepted accounting principles promulgated by rule-setting bodies; and the factors set forth under the heading "Risk Factors" on page 14 of the company's Form 10-K. In addition, such statements could be affected by general domestic and international economic and political conditions. Other unpredictable or unknown factors not discussed in this report could also have material adverse effects on forward-looking statements.

CERTAIN TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT

When this report uses the words "we," "us," "our," and the "Company," they refer to Radiant Oil & Gas, Inc. "SEC" refers to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

RA DIANT OIL AND GAS, INC.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

	Successor	
	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 175,884	\$ 694,582
Restricted cash	984,535	2,067,225
Certificates of deposit	325,000	325,000
Accounts receivable – oil and gas	306,427	271,550
Commodity derivative asset	-	33,330
Other current assets	85,597	81,154
Due from related parties	358,226	358,226
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	2,235,669	3,831,067
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		
Properties subject to amortization, accounted for using the full cost method of accounting, net of accumulated depletion of \$92,872 and \$44,714, respectively	21,756,477	19,758,681
Properties not subject to amortization, accounted for using the full cost method of accounting	-	-
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$190,014 and \$188,370, respectively	30,078	25,769
TOTAL PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT	21,786,555	19,784,450
Commodity derivative asset	-	113,090
Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$425,710 and 231,859, respectively	6,647,789	6,841,640
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 30,670,013	\$ 30,570,247
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 2,448,551	\$ 1,681,423
Cash advance obligation on acquisition option	35,580	35,580
Notes payable, current portion	6,630,229	5,004,834
Convertible notes payable	117,500	142,500
Accrued interest	2,093,507	1,389,047
Commodity derivative liability, current portion	96,030	-
Due to related parties	916,320	917,295
Stock and warrant derivative liabilities, current portion	198,359	474,895
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	12,536,076	9,645,574
Deferred gain	655,628	900,628
Asset retirement obligations	464,601	455,296
Stock and warrant derivative liabilities	1,600,739	4,000,817
Commodity derivative liability	25,990	-
Long-term debt, net of unamortized discount of \$530,468 and \$522,993, respectively	24,829,099	25,475,264
TOTAL LIABILITIES	40,112,133	40,477,579
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)	50,000	50,000
STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 14,685,023 and 13,784,408 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	146,851	137,845
Additional paid-in capital	6,733,312	6,114,133
Accumulated deficit	(16,372,283)	(16,209,310)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	(9,492,120)	(9,957,332)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	\$ 30,670,013	\$ 30,570,247

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RAD IANT OIL AND GAS, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

	Successor	Predecessor
	For the T hree	For the T hree
	Months Ended	Months Ended
	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013
OIL AND GAS REVENUES	\$ 786,359	\$ 754,455
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Lease operating expenses	690,170	850,812
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and accretion	59,107	8,953
General and administrative expense	1,194,290	-
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	<u>1,943,567</u>	<u>859,765</u>
OPERATING LOSS	<u>(1,157,208)</u>	<u>(105,310)</u>
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):		
Unrealized gain on stock and warrant derivative liabilities	2,148,684	-
Unrealized loss on commodity derivative	(268,440)	-
Realized gain on commodity derivative	22,710	-
Interest expense	(1,160,042)	-
Other income (expense), net	251,323	-
Total other income	<u>994,235</u>	<u>-</u>
NET LOSS	<u>\$ (162,973)</u>	<u>\$ (105,310)</u>
NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE - Basic and diluted	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.08)</u>
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES		
OUTSTANDING - Basic and diluted	<u>13,939,588</u>	<u>1,240,102</u>

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RA DIANT OIL AND GAS, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	<u>Successor</u> <u>For the Three</u> <u>Months Ended</u> <u>March 31, 2014</u>	<u>Predecessor</u> <u>For the Three</u> <u>Months Ended</u> <u>March 31, 2013</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (162,973)	\$ (105,310)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion, amortization, and accretion	59,107	8,953
Amortization of deferred financing costs	193,851	-
Amortization of debt discount	12,524	-
Unrealized gain on stock and warrant derivative liabilities	(2,148,684)	-
Unrealized loss on commodity derivatives	268,440	-
Stock-based compensation	66,505	-
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable – oil and gas	(34,877)	(47,629)
Other current assets	(4,443)	-
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	878,837	51,463
Deferred gain	(245,000)	-
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(1,116,713)</u>	<u>(92,523)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Change in restricted cash	1,082,690	-
Cash paid for oil and gas properties	(1,453,203)	-
Purchase of equipment	(5,953)	-
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(376,466)</u>	<u>-</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Borrowings on notes payable	1,000,000	-
Payments on notes payable	(13,295)	-
Original issue discount on notes payable	(19,999)	-
Predecessor contributions	-	92,523
Loans to/from owners, net	(975)	-
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	8,750	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>974,481</u>	<u>92,523</u>
DECREASE IN CASH	(518,698)	-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	694,582	-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	<u>\$ 175,884</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RADIANT OIL AND GAS, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Continued)

	<u>Successor</u> <u>For the Three</u> <u>Months Ended</u> <u>March 31, 2014</u>	<u>Predecessor</u> <u>For the Three</u> <u>Months Ended</u> <u>March 31, 2013</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
CASH PAID DURING THE PERIOD FOR:		
Income taxes	\$ -	\$ -
Interest	\$ (249,207)	\$ -
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Change in accrued oil and gas development costs	\$ 592,751	\$ -
Common stock issued for conversion of debt	\$ 25,000	\$ -

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

RADIAN T OIL AND GAS, INC.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

Note 1 – General Organization and Business

General Organization and Business

Radiant Oil and Gas, Inc. (“Radiant” or “the Company”) is an independent oil and gas exploration and production company that operates in the Gulf Coast region of the United States of America, specifically, onshore and the state waters of Louisiana, USA, and the federal waters offshore Texas in the Gulf of Mexico. Effective October 9, 2013, the Company closed on the purchase of oil and gas properties located in Louisiana and Mississippi (the “Vidalia Properties” or “Vidalia”). The Vidalia Properties contain over eighty (80) wells in Louisiana and Mississippi.

The Company determined Vidalia to be its predecessor entity as the latter’s historical operations were significantly larger than the historical operations of the Company. For the purposes of financial statement presentation, designation of an acquired business as a predecessor is required if a registrant succeeds to the business of another entity and the registrant’s own operations prior to the succession appear insignificant relative to the operations assumed or acquired. As such, the Company has included the historical financial results of Vidalia prior to October 9, 2013 as its predecessor entity.

Note 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Radiant have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), and should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s Form 10K filed on May 7, 2014.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods presented have been reflected herein. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Notes to the consolidated financial statements which would substantially duplicate the disclosure contained in the audited consolidated financial statements for the most recent fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 have been omitted.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to amounts in prior periods to conform to the current period presentation. All reclassifications have been applied consistently to the periods presented.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, if any, at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the respective reporting periods. The Company bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions and information that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be perceived with certainty and, accordingly, these estimates may change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information is obtained and as our operating environment changes. The Company’s estimates include estimates of oil reserves, future cash flows from oil properties, depreciation, depletion, amortization, impairment of oil properties, asset retirement obligations, and calculations related to stock and warrant derivative liabilities and commodity derivative instruments. Management emphasizes that reserve estimates are inherently imprecise and that estimates of more recent reserve discoveries are more imprecise than those for properties with long production histories. Actual results may differ from the estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation

The Company consolidates all of its investments in which the Company has exclusive control. The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of Radiant and the Company's wholly owned subsidiaries, Jurasin Oil and Gas, Inc. ("Jurasin") Rampant Lion Energy, LLC ("RLE"), Radiant Oil and Gas Operating Company, Inc. ("ROGop"), Radiant Acquisitions 1, Inc. ("Radiant Acquisitions"), Radiant Synergy Operating LLC. ("Radiant Synergy") and Charenton Oil Company LLC. (Charenton).

In accordance with established practices in the oil and gas industry, the Company's consolidated financial statements include pro-rata share of assets, liabilities, income and lease operating and general and administrative costs and expenses of Amber Energy, LLC. ("Amber"), in which the Company has an interest. The Company owned a 51% interest in Amber as of March 31, 2014.

The financial statements presented herein contain information for Vidalia for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase and are recorded at cost, which approximates fair value. The Company and its subsidiaries maintain its cash in institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), which insures the balances up to \$250,000 per depositor. At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had cash balances of \$933,044 and \$2,017,241, respectively, in excess of FDIC insurance limits. The Company has not incurred losses related to these deposits and believes no significant concentration of credit risk exists with respect to these cash investments.

As of March 31, 2014, Radiant had a restricted cash balance of \$984,535. This amount was restricted by the lender in accordance with Centaurus financing agreement (see Note 4 for more detail on this financing).

Concentrations

Financial instruments which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash. We periodically evaluate the credit worthiness of financial institutions, and maintain cash accounts only with major financial institutions thereby minimizing exposure for deposits in excess of federally insured amounts. We believe that credit risk associated with cash is remote.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are reflected at net realizable value. The Company establishes provisions for losses on accounts receivable if the Company determines that the Company will not collect all or part of the outstanding balance. The Company regularly reviews collectability and establishes or adjusts the allowance as necessary using the specific identification method. Substantially all of accounts receivable balance relates to the most recent crude oil revenue sales.

Deferred Financing Charges

Deferred finance charges consist of legal and other fees incurred in connection with the issuance of notes payable and are capitalized and shown in the consolidated balance sheets. These charges are being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the related notes.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related asset: furniture and fixtures - 7 years; vehicles - 5 years; computer equipment and software - 3 to 5 years. Fully depreciated assets are retained in property and accumulated depreciation accounts until they are removed from service. The Company performs ongoing evaluations of the estimated useful lives of the property and equipment for depreciation purposes. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

Oil and Natural Gas Properties

The Company accounts for its oil and natural gas producing activities using the full cost method of accounting, as prescribed by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Under this method, subject to a limitation based on estimated value, all costs incurred in the acquisition, exploration, and development of proved oil and natural gas properties, including internal costs directly associated with acquisition, exploration, and development activities, the costs of abandoned properties, dry holes, geophysical costs, and annual lease rentals are capitalized within a full cost pool. Costs of production and general and administrative corporate costs unrelated to acquisition, exploration, and development activities are expensed as incurred.

Costs associated with unevaluated properties are capitalized as oil and natural gas properties, but are excluded from the amortization base during the evaluation period. When the Company determines whether the property has proved recoverable reserves or not, or if there is an impairment, the costs are transferred into the amortization base and thereby become subject to amortization. The Company evaluates unevaluated properties for inclusion in the amortization base at least annually. The Company assesses properties on an individual basis, or as a group, if properties are individually insignificant. The assessment includes consideration of the following factors, among others: intent to drill; remaining lease term; geological and geophysical evaluations; drilling results and activity; the assignment of proved reserves; and the economic viability of development if proved reserves are assigned. During any period in which these factors indicate that there would be impairment, or if proved reserves are assigned to a property, the cumulative costs incurred to date for such property are transferred to the amortizable base and are then subject to amortization.

Capitalized costs included in the amortization base are depleted using the units of production method based on proved reserves. Depletion is calculated using the capitalized costs included in the amortization base, including estimated asset retirement costs, plus the estimated future expenditures to be incurred in developing proved reserves, net of estimated salvage values.

The Company includes its pro rata share of assets and proved reserves associated with an investment that is accounted for on a proportional consolidation basis with assets and proved reserves that the Company directly owns. The Company calculates the depletion and net book value of the assets based on the full cost pool’s aggregated values. Accordingly, the ratio of production to reserves, depletion and impairment associated with a proportionally consolidated investment does not represent a pro rata share of the depletion, proved reserves, and impairment of the proportionally consolidated venture.

The net book value of all capitalized oil and natural gas properties, less related deferred income taxes, is subject to a full cost ceiling limitation which is calculated quarterly. Under the ceiling limitation, costs may not exceed an aggregate of the present value of future net revenues attributable to proved oil and natural gas reserves discounted at 10 percent using current prices, plus the lower of cost or market value of unproved properties included in the amortization base, plus the cost of unevaluated properties, less any associated tax effects. Any excess of the net book value, less related deferred tax benefits, over the ceiling is written off as expense. Impairment expense recorded in one period may not be reversed in a subsequent period even though higher oil and gas prices may have increased the ceiling applicable to the subsequent period.

Sales or other dispositions of oil and natural gas properties are accounted for as adjustments to capitalized costs, with no gain or loss recorded unless the ratio of cost to proved reserves would significantly change.

As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had an oil and gas property balance of \$21,756,477 and \$19,758,681, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically reviews non-oil and gas long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset’s estimated fair value and its book value. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, there was no impairment recorded by the Company.

Asset Retirement Obligation

The Company records the fair value of an asset retirement cost, and corresponding liability as part of the cost of the related long-lived asset and the cost is subsequently allocated to expense using a systematic and rational method. The Company records an asset retirement obligation to reflect its legal obligations related to future plugging and abandonment of our oil and natural gas wells and gas gathering systems. The Company estimates the expected cash flow associated with the obligation and discounts the amount using a credit-adjusted, risk-free interest rate. At least annually, the Company reassesses the obligation to determine whether a change in the estimated obligation is necessary. The Company evaluates whether there are indicators that suggest the estimated cash flows underlying the obligation have materially changed. Should those indicators suggest the estimated obligation may have materially changed on an interim basis (quarterly), the Company will accordingly update its assessment. Additional retirement obligations increase the liability associated with new oil and natural gas wells and gas gathering systems as these obligations are incurred.

Derivative Financial Instruments

For derivative financial instruments that are accounted for as liabilities, the derivative instrument is initially recorded at its fair value and is then re-valued at each reporting date, with changes in the fair value reported as charges or credits to non-operating income. For warrants and convertible derivative financial instruments, the Company uses the Binomial Option Pricing model to value the derivative instruments at inception and subsequent valuation dates. The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is re-assessed at the end of each reporting period, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. Derivative instrument liabilities are classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument could be required within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. The Company follows the “sales method” of accounting for oil and natural gas revenues, and recognizes revenue on all natural gas or crude oil sold to purchasers, regardless of whether the sales are proportionate to our ownership in the property. A receivable or liability is recognized only to the extent that the Company has an imbalance on a specific property greater than the expected remaining proved reserves.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

FASB ASC-740 establishes a more-likely-than-not threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax return positions in the financial statements. Also, the statement implements a process for measuring those tax positions which meet the recognition threshold of being ultimately sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities. There are no uncertain tax positions taken by the Company on its tax returns.

Net Loss per Common Share

Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per common share is determined using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, adjusted for the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents. In periods when losses are reported, the diluted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding excludes common stock equivalents because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive. There was no difference between basic and diluted loss per share for all periods presented.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated all transactions from March 31, 2014 through the financial statement issuance date for subsequent event disclosure consideration and there are no reportable events.

Note 3 – Oil and Gas Properties

The table below summarizes the Company’s capitalized costs related to proved oil and gas properties which were subject to depreciation, depletion and amortization at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were:

	<u>March 31, 2014</u>	<u>December 31, 2013</u>
Proved oil and gas properties	\$ 21,849,349	\$ 19,803,395
Accumulated depreciation, depletion, and amortization	(92,872)	(44,714)
Net capitalized costs	<u>\$ 21,756,477</u>	<u>\$ 19,758,681</u>

All of the Company’s oil and gas properties are proved as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 in accordance with the definition of proved reserves.

Detail Project Descriptions

Vidalia Properties

Vidalia properties contain over 80 wells in Louisiana and Mississippi. The Louisiana properties include over 39 wells and numerous leases located in Catahoula, Concordia, La Salle and St. Mary's Parishes. The Mississippi properties include over 41 wells and numerous leases located in Adams, Amite, Franklin, and Wilkinson Counties. The properties include up to 30 productive wells and up to 38 shut-in wells. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company capitalized a total of \$491,642, including intangible drilling and completion costs and casing costs.

Ensminger

In 2010, Radiant had a minority interest in a well drilled in this prospect. In 2011, the well was temporarily abandoned in a manner that would allow re-entry at a later date.

During 2013, the Company has acquired a lease for materially the same area as the area leased and exploited in 2005 to 2007 for the same area covering this prospect (approximately 634 acres). The Company controls 100% of the working interest in this prospect. The plan is to re-enter the well that was abandoned in 2004 and twin by-passed pay in the well drilled in 2014 that is located in a nearby reservoir.

The Ensminger Project is located in St. Mary’s Parish, Louisiana. Currently, the Company has no producing wells in this area. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company capitalized a total of \$1,254,680, including intangible drilling and completion costs, leasehold rights payments and casing costs.

Coral

In December 2013, the Company acquired two leases in Louisiana State Waters of St. Mary’s parish totaling approximately 1,405 acres. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company capitalized a total of \$299,632, including intangible drilling and completion and permitting costs. Radiant controls 100% of the working interest.

Shallow Oil - Black Gold

On March 1, 2012, Radiant entered into a project agreement (the “Shallow Oil Phase 1 Agreement”) with Black Gold, Inc. (“Black Gold”) for joint acquisition and exploration of certain oil and gas leases and related assets located in St. Mary Parish, Louisiana (the “Phase 1 Lease”). In accordance with the Shallow Oil Phase 1 Agreement, Radiant issued to Black Gold a total of 490,000 shares of its common stock at \$0.50 per share during 2012 and 2013.

Effective February 24, 2014, the agreement with Black Gold was terminated. Due to the fact that Black Gold did not fully fund its commitment, the amount received from Black Gold of \$245,000 was recorded as other income in the consolidated statement of operations as other income.

Shallow Oil - Grand Synergy

Effective June 1, 2012, Radiant entered into a project agreement (the “Shallow Oil Phase 3 and 4 Agreement”) with Grand Synergy Petroleum, LLC (“Grand”) for joint acquisition and exploration of certain oil and gas leases and related assets located in St. Mary Parish, Louisiana (the “Phase 3 and 4 Leases”). As a result of this agreement, two new Louisiana entities were formed, Charenton Oil Company, LLC (“Charenton”) on May 8, 2012 and Radiant Synergy Operating, LLC (“Synergy”) on June 28, 2012. Charenton is a wholly owned subsidiary of Radiant and Synergy was owned 50% by Radiant and 50% by Grand.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company recorded a deferred gain of \$655,628 as the excess of funds received from Grand during 2012 and costs incurred to date. This amount was recorded as a deferred gain due to the fact that Grand did not fully fund its commitment and therefore did not receive ownership of the Shallow Oil properties.

As Grand did not fulfill its obligations outlined in the agreement, Radiant effectively became a 100% owner of Radiant Synergy in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Note 4 – Notes Payable

Notes payable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
First Lien Credit Facility (Centaurus)	\$ 28,525,947	\$ 27,525,947
Senior credit facility (Amber)	2,032,188	2,032,188
Senior credit facility (RLE)	818,309	818,309
Fermo Jaeckle note	475,000	475,000
Debentures (Patriot Notes)	137,410	150,705
Line of credit (Capital One Bank)	942	942
Total notes payable	<u>31,989,796</u>	<u>31,003,091</u>
Less: unamortized discount	(530,468)	(522,993)
Less: current portion	<u>(6,630,229)</u>	<u>(5,004,834)</u>
Long-term portion	<u>\$ 24,829,099</u>	<u>\$ 25,475,264</u>

First Lien Credit Facility (“Centaurus Facility”)

The Company was in multiple defaults on the Centaurus Credit Agreement (“Credit Agreement”), including timely delivery of audited financial statements and other reporting requirements. Effective February 28, 2014, the lender has agreed to forbear from exercising its rights and remedies against the Company, as allowed by the Credit Agreement and related agreements for the then-existing defaults. The lender has specifically agreed to not initiate proceedings to collect on the obligation, discontinue lending under the Credit Agreement, initiate or join in any involuntary bankruptcy petition, repossess or dispose of any collateral under the Credit Agreement or similar actions because of the existing defaults. Should the Company default on any provisions in the Credit Agreement other than those existing defaults, the Lenders have the right to exercise its rights and remedies against the Company, as contained in the facility agreement and through the amendment of the Credit Agreement.

Effective February 28, 2014, the Company amended the Centaurus Credit Agreement. As part of the amendment, the maturity date was extended to December 2018. The amendment increased the maximum aggregate commitment from the Lenders from \$39,788,000 to \$41,748,000, increased the principal amount to be repaid by the Company from \$40,600,000 to \$42,600,000 plus any deferred interest, and increased the net profits interest conveyed to Centaurus on specific proved wells from 12.5% to 17.0%. Advances under the Centaurus facility are available with the approval of the agent. There was no change in interest rate or collateral. Repayments are based on cash flow from Company properties and are schedule to begin no later than October 2014. Should the Company default on any provisions in the Credit Agreement other than those existing defaults, the Lenders have the right to exercise its rights and remedies against the Company, as contained in the facility agreement and the amendment.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company received \$980,001 of proceeds net of a 2% original issue discount of \$19,999 from the Centaurus facility. As of March 31, 2014, the Centaurus Facility had an outstanding balance of \$28,525,947 and accrued interest liability of \$870,243. Interest expense was \$870,933 for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had an outstanding balance on its Centaurus Facility of \$27,525,947 and accrued interest was \$246,891 at December 31, 2013.

The Centaurus Facility at December 31, 2013, included a 2% original issue discount of \$550,519. Both this discount and the \$19,999 discount from the 2014 borrowing are being amortized over the five-year life of the note using the effective interest rate method. The Company recorded \$12,524 in total discount amortization during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The total unamortized balance of the discounts was \$530,468 at March 31, 2014.

Senior Credit Facility of Amber (“Amber Credit Facility”)

The Amber Credit Facility was in payment default as of March 31, 2014. As of March 31, 2014, the Company had an outstanding balance on its Amber Credit Facility of \$2,032,188. Accrued interest related to this credit facility amounted to \$430,341 as of March 31, 2014. No payments have been made since September 2006. Management intends to negotiate a settlement of the Amber Credit Facility with Macquarie Bank Ltd. (“MBL”). During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recorded interest expense of \$16,512.

Senior Credit Facility of RLE (“RLE Credit Facility”)

As of March 31, 2014, the Company had an outstanding balance on its RLE Credit Facility of \$818,309. Accrued interest related to this credit facility amounted to \$403,171 as of March 31, 2014. The RLE Credit Facility contained restrictive financial covenants. Interest accrued at the default interest rate of 11.25% during 2014. No payments have been made since September 2006. Management intends to negotiate a settlement of the RLE Credit Facility with MBL. During the three months ended, the Company recorded interest expense of \$35,414.

Fermo Jaeckle Note

As of March 31, 2014, the Company had an outstanding balance on this note of \$475,000 and accrued interest was \$154,569 at March 31, 2014. During the three months ended the Company recorded interest expense of \$11,875. Management intends to contact Mr. Jaeckle and his representatives to negotiate a settlement of the 10% Convertible Note.

Patriot Agreement

On December 28, 2013, the Company entered into an agreement (the “Patriot Agreement”) with Patriot Bridge & Opportunity Fund, L.P. (f/k/a John Thomas Bridge & Opportunity Fund, L.P.) and Patriot Bridge & Opportunity Fund II, L.P., together referred to as the “Funds,” Patriot 28, LLC, the Managing Member of the Funds, and George Jarkesy, individually and as Managing Member of Patriot 28. The Patriot 28 Agreement restructured the outstanding \$150,000 due to the Funds in the form of a general liability promissory note. The maturity date of the Note shall be the earlier of an equity infusion of not less than \$10,000,000 or December 1, 2014. The general liability note amortizes with a monthly payment of \$13,425. Interest shall be paid monthly at the rate of six percent (6%) per annum.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recorded interest expense of \$2,060. Accrued interest was \$1,240 at March 31, 2014.

To the extent any payments are not made timely in accordance with the repayment schedule described in the Patriot 28 Agreement, the Company shall issue 500 shares of Company stock to Fund I and 500 shares of Company stock to Fund II for each default occurrence. This provision does not apply if the Company cures its default within ten (10) days following receipt of written notice that a payment has not been timely made.

Line of Credit

The Company has a line of credit from the Capital One Bank for up to \$25,000 that carries a 7% fixed interest rate. As of March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance on the line of credit was \$942.

Convertible Debt

Convertible notes payable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Asher Note # 1	\$ 65,000	\$ 90,000
Asher Note # 2	52,500	52,500
Total convertible notes payable	117,500	142,500
Less: current portion	(117,500)	(142,500)
Long-term portion	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Asher Convertible Notes

During 2011, Radiant issued convertible promissory notes to Asher Enterprises Inc. (“Asher Convertible Notes”), for gross proceeds of \$95,000 at 8% interest, the notes are convertible into the Company’s common stock. The loans are convertible after 180 days from the date of issuance and until the later of maturity date or the date of payment of default amount. The conversion price equals to 61% of the average of the lowest 3 trading prices for the common stock during the ten trading day period ending on the latest complete trading day prior to the conversion date. Because of this floating rate feature, these notes are considered a derivative liability – see Note 7 for more detail.

The notes originally matured on March 29, 2012 and April 26, 2012 and were currently in default as of March 31, 2014. According to provisions of the credit agreement, in case of a default, the principal of the notes increases 150%, this occurred in 2012.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, \$25,000 of the principal was converted into 25,615 shares of the Company’s common stock. As of March 31, 2014, the principal outstanding was \$117,500 and accrued interest was \$57,856 as of March 31, 2014. The Company recorded interest expense of \$6,814 during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Note 5 – Commodity Derivative

In connection with the First Lien Credit Facility (“Centaurus Facility”), the Company and Centaurus entered into an International Swaps and Derivatives Association (“ISDA”) Master Agreement that provides Centaurus with the ability to hedge its future price risk from time to time utilizing a series of price swap agreements for the period from 2014 through 2018. Each contract will be settled in net cash on settlement date.

The following table shows the monthly volumes and average floor prices per the ISDA Master Agreement:

Start Month	End Month	Volume BBL/Month	Average Floor \$/BBL
Jan. '14	Dec. '14	3,000	\$ 99.05
Jan. '15	Dec. '15	3,000	\$ 90.69
Jan. '16	Dec. '16	3,000	\$ 84.98
Jan. '17	Dec. '17	2,000	\$ 81.82
Jan. '18	Sept. '18	2,000	\$ 80.20

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recognized a realized gain on the commodity derivative of \$22,710 in its consolidated statements of operations as other income.

The Company has elected not to apply hedge accounting to this derivative but will, instead, recognize unrealized gains (losses) associated with the derivative in its consolidated statements operations in the period for which such unrealized gains (losses) occur.

The Company has presented a short term derivative liability of \$96,030 and long term derivative liability of \$25,990 on its balance sheet as of March 31, 2014 and recognized an unrealized loss associated with the price swap agreements of \$268,440 for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Note 6 – Deferred Financing Charge

In order to obtain the Centaurus Facility (see Note 4) the Company incurred \$7,073,499 of legal, banking, insurance and other professional fees prior to December 31, 2013. These fees were capitalized and are being amortized over the five year term of the Centaurus Facility using the effective interest method. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, \$193,851 was recorded as amortization expense.

Note 7 – Stock and Warrant Derivative Liabilities

Agent Warrants

In November 2010, Radiant issued to John Thomas Financial, Inc. (“JTF”) warrants to purchase 121,500 shares of the Company’s common stock at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. The warrants were given as additional compensation for placing an offering of common stock. The warrants had a contractual term of 5 years and vested immediately. The warrants had an exercise price reset provision clause that triggered derivative accounting. The fair value of these warrants was \$60,348 as of March 31, 2014.

Tainted Warrants

In May 2011, Radiant issued convertible promissory notes to JTBOF and JTBOF II in the principal amount of \$75,000 each (see more detail in Note 4). Additionally, Radiant issued warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of its common stock each to JTBOF and JTBOF II. Warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of its common stock each to JTBOF and JTBOF II have an exercise price of \$2.50 per share and a term of up to 2 years, \$3.00 per share and a term of up to 3 years, and \$4.00 per share and a term of up to 4 years. Upon the issuance of Asher convertible notes and as a consequence of its floating rate feature, these warrants and other existing warrants previously classified as equity have become tainted and are considered a derivative liability. Warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of common stock each issued to JTBOF and JTBOF II that had an exercise price of \$2.50 expired. The fair value of these warrants was \$551,025 as of March 31, 2014.

The following is a summary of the assumptions used in the Lattice option pricing model to estimate the fair value of the total Company’s stock and warrant derivative liabilities as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants	1,350,617	1,350,617
Estimated market value of common stock on measurement date	\$ 0.55 – 2.25	\$ 0.59 - 1.04
Exercise price	\$ 0.12 – 4.00	\$ 0.12 - 4.00
Risk free interest rate (1)	0.13 – 0.44	0.10 - 0.38%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility (2)	220 - 284%	212-307%
Expected exercise term in years	0.13-1.89	0.38-2.14

- (1) The risk-free interest rate was determined by management using the Treasury bill yield as of March 31, 2014.
- (2) The volatility was determined by referring to the average historical volatility of a peer group of public companies because we do not have sufficient trading history to determine our historical volatility.

Asher Convertible Notes

The Asher Convertible Notes are convertible at 61% of the average lowest three-day trading price of common stock during the during the ten trading day period ending on the latest complete trading day prior to the conversion date. The Company analyzed these conversion options, and determined that these instruments should be classified as liabilities and recorded at fair value due to there being no explicit limit as to the number of shares to be delivered upon settlement of the aforementioned conversion options.

As of March 31, 2014, the fair value of these derivatives was \$72,830.

The fair value of stock and warrant derivative liabilities related to the conversion options of the Asher Convertible Notes has been estimated as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, using the Lattice option pricing model, under the following assumptions:

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Common stock issuable upon conversion	192,623	224,622
Estimated market value of common stock on measurement date	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.04
Exercise price	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.46
Risk free interest rate (1)	0.03%	0.01%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility (2)	248%	224%
Expected exercise term in years	0.00	0.00

- (1) The risk-free interest rate was determined by management using the one month Treasury bill yield as of the issuance dates.
- (2) The volatility was determined by referring to the average historical volatility of a peer group of public companies because we do not have sufficient trading history to determine our historical volatility.

Bridge Loan Warrants

In August 2013, Radiant entered into a bridge loan agreement with various individuals, totaling \$600,000, along with warrants to purchase 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.01 per share. The related proceeds were received by Radiant on September 6, 2013, and as such, the aforementioned warrants were deemed to be issued on that date. The warrants have a contractual term of 5 years and vest immediately. The warrants were tainted and considered a derivative.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the holders exercised 875,000 warrants at exercise price of \$0.01. The fair value of the remaining 625,000 warrants was \$343,527 at March 31, 2014.

The following is a summary of the assumptions used in the Lattice option pricing model to estimate the fair value of the total Company's warrant Stock and warrant derivative liabilities as of the balance sheet date at March 31, 2014:

	March 31, 2014	December 31 2013
Common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants	625,000	1,500,000
Estimated market value of common stock on measurement date	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.04
Exercise price	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01
Risk free interest rate (1)	1.52%	1.51%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility (2)	263%	289%
Expected exercise term in years	4.43	4.68

- (1) The risk-free interest rate was determined by management using the Treasury bill yield as of March 31, 2014.
- (2) The volatility was determined by referring to the average historical volatility of a peer group of public companies because we do not have sufficient trading history to determine our historical volatility.

Vidalia Warrants

As a result of this acquisition of the Vidalia Properties, the Company issued 1,500,201 warrants to purchase an equivalent number of shares of the Company's common stock with an exercise price of \$2.02 per share. The warrants expire on October 8, 2016. The warrants were tainted and considered a derivative. The fair value of outstanding warrants was \$771,368 at March 31, 2014.

The following is a summary of the assumptions used in the Lattice option pricing model to estimate the fair value of the total Company's warrant stock and warrant derivative liabilities as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively:

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Common stock issuable upon exercise of warrants	1,500,201	1,500,201
Estimated market value of common stock on measurement date	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.02
Exercise price	\$ 2.02	\$ 2.02
Risk free interest rate (1)	0.67%	0.78%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility (2)	268%	301%
Expected exercise term in years	2.53	2.77

- (1) The risk-free interest rate was determined by management using the Treasury bill yield as of December 31, 2013 and October 9, 2013.
- (2) The volatility was determined by referring to the average historical volatility of a peer group of public companies because we do not have sufficient trading history to determine our historical volatility.

The following tables set forth the changes in the fair value measurements of our Level 3 stock and warrant derivative liabilities during the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	December 31, 2013	Reclass to Additional Paid in Capital	Decrease in Fair Value of Derivative Liability	March 31, 2014
Derivative liability - warrants	\$ 121,566	\$	\$ (61,218)	\$ 60,348
Derivative liability - tainted warrants	1,092,505		(541,480)	551,025
Derivative liability - convertible debt	167,048	(46,993)	(47,225)	72,830
Derivative liability - bridge loan	1,559,697	(480,937)	(735,233)	343,527
Derivative liability – Vidalia warrants	1,534,896		(763,528)	771,368
	<u>4,475,712</u>	<u>\$ (527,930)</u>	<u>\$ (2,148,684)</u>	<u>1,799,098</u>
Current Portion	474,895			198,359
Long-term portion	<u>\$ 4,000,817</u>			<u>\$ 1,600,739</u>

Note 8 – Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the quality of inputs used to measure fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price).

Three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are financial instruments whose values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation.

If the inputs used to measure the financial assets and liabilities fall within more than one level described above, the categorization is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2014:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2014				
Description	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Carrying Value
Derivative liability – agent warrants	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,348	\$ 60,348
Derivative liability – tainted warrants	-	-	551,025	551,025
Derivative liability – convertible debt	-	-	72,830	72,830
Derivative liability – bridge loan	-	-	343,527	343,527
Derivative liability – Vidalia warrants	-	-	771,368	771,368
Total	-	-	\$ 1,799,098	\$ 1,799,098
Current portion	-	-	198,359	198,359
Long-term portion	-	-	\$ 1,600,739	\$ 1,600,739

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2014:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2014				
Description	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Carrying Value
Commodity derivative	\$ -	\$ 122,020	\$ -	\$ 122,020
Total	\$ -	\$ 122,020	\$ -	\$ 122,020

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of financial assets and liabilities classified as level 2 in the fair value hierarchy during the three months ended March 31, 2014:

December 31, 2013, balance	\$ 146,420
Total loss	(268,440)
Settlements	-
Additions	-
Transfers	-
March 31, 2014, balance	\$ (122,020)
Change in unrealized loss included in earnings relating to derivatives still held as of March 31, 2014	\$ 268,440

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the fair value of financial assets and liabilities classified as level 3 in the fair value hierarchy during the three months ended March 31, 2014:

December 31, 2013, balance	\$ 4,475,712
Unrealized Gain	(2,148,684)
Settlements	-
Additions	-
Transfers	(527,930)
March 31, 2014, balance	\$ 1,799,098
Change in unrealized gain included in earnings relating to derivatives still held as of March 31, 2014	\$ 2,148,684

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013				
Description	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Carrying Value
Derivative liability – agent warrants	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 121,566	\$ 121,566
Derivative liability – tainted warrants	-	-	1,092,505	1,092,505
Derivative liability – convertible debt	-	-	167,048	167,048
Derivative liability – bridge loan	-	-	1,559,697	1,559,697
Derivative liability – Vidalia warrants	-	-	1,534,896	1,534,896
Total	-	-	\$ 4,475,712	\$ 4,475,712
Current portion	-	-	474,895	474,895
Long-term portion	-	-	\$ 4,000,817	\$ 4,000,817

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2013:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013				
Description	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Carrying Value
Commodity derivative	\$ -	\$ 146,420	\$ -	\$ 146,420
Total	\$ -	\$ 146,420	\$ -	\$ 146,420

Note 9 – Cash Advance Obligation on Acquisition Option

In June 2011, Radiant entered into a purchase and sale agreement with Blacksands Petroleum (“Blacksands”) whereby Radiant granted Blacksands 20% of the interests in certain oil and gas properties. In partial consideration for this agreement, Blacksands delivered to Radiant a refundable deposit of \$50,000. Due to various matters, Radiant elected not to pursue this transaction. On November 12, 2012, Blacksands filed a lawsuit against Radiant for breach of contract. In December 2012, Blacksands obtained a judgment against Radiant in the amount of \$55,565 which included \$50,000 of the original payment, \$3,750 of attorney fees and \$1,815 of judgment interest. In late 2013, the Company made payments to Blacksands on the total amount of \$19,985. As of March 31, 2014, the outstanding liability to Blacksands is \$35,580 with accrued interest of \$2,200.

Note 10 – Asset Retirement Obligation

The following table reflects the changes in the asset retirement obligation:

	Amount
Asset retirement obligation as of December 31, 2013	\$ 455,296
Additions	-
Current period revision to previous estimates	-
Current period accretion	9,305
Asset retirement obligation as of March 31, 2014	\$ 464,601

Note 11 – Stockholders’ Deficit

As of March 31, 2014, there were 14,685,023 shares of common shares issued and outstanding.

In March 2014, the Company issued 875,000 shares of common stock in connection with the exercise of warrants and stock options. The Company received \$8,750 in proceeds from this exercise.

On January 23, 2014, Asher Enterprises, Inc, the holder of Radiant’s convertible debt, converted principal of \$25,000 into 25,615 shares of common stock with an effective price of \$0.976 per share.

Stock-Based Compensation

2010 Equity Incentive Plan

The Company’s 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2010 Plan”) provides for the grant of incentive stock options and stock warrants to employees, directors and consultants of the Company. The 2010 Plan provides for the issuance of both non-statutory and incentive stock options and other awards to acquire, in the aggregate, up to 3,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock.

Stock Options

Effective January 15, 2014, the Company granted 198,874 stock options to an employee with an aggregate fair value of \$278,337. The stock options vest over 3 years. The fair value was determined using the Black Scholes model with the following parameters:

Common stock issuable upon exercise of options	198,874
Estimated market value of common stock on measurement date	\$ 1.40
Exercise price	\$ 1.40
Risk free interest rate (1)	2.01%
Expected dividend yield	0.00%
Expected volatility (2)	293.16%
Expected exercise term in years	6

- (1) The risk-free interest rate was determined by management using the Treasury bill yield as of January 15, 2014.
- (2) The volatility was determined by referring to the average historical volatility of a peer group of public companies because we do not have sufficient trading history to determine our historical volatility.

Stock option activity to the Company’s employees and consultants is presented in the table below:

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	1,658,071	\$ 1.10	5.34	\$ 24,045
Granted	198,874	1.76	4.84	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Forfeited	(827,155)	-	-	-
Outstanding at March 31, 2014	<u>1,029,790</u>	<u>\$ 1.25</u>	<u>4.70</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Exercisable at March 31, 2014	<u>307,278</u>	<u>\$ 1.09</u>		

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recorded stock based compensation of \$66,505 related to stock options to employees. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, unrecognized share-based compensation cost totaled \$383,394 and \$516,608, respectively.

Warrants

A summary of information regarding common stock warrants outstanding is as follows:

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</u>
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	4,350,818	\$ 1.29	3.43	\$ 1,588,050
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	(875,000)	0.01	-	-
Forfeited	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at March 31, 2014	<u>3,475,818</u>	<u>\$ 1.61</u>	<u>2.88</u>	<u>\$ 352,013</u>
Exercisable at March 31, 2014	<u>3,475,818</u>	<u>\$ 1.61</u>		

Upon the issuance of Asher convertible notes (discussed in Note 7) and as a consequence of its floating rate feature, these warrants and other existing warrants previously classified as equity have become tainted and are considered derivatives.

Note 12 – Related Party Transactions

Related parties include (i) Macquarie Americas Corp. (“MAC”), the owner of 49% equity interest in Amber, (ii) John Jurasin, the Company’s CEO and formerly the sole stockholder of Jurasin Oil and Gas, Inc. (“JOG”), (iii) JTBOF, David R. Strawn, and David M. Klausmeyer, the Company’s stockholders, and (iv) Robert M. Gray, the Company’s director and former employee. Related party balances as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows:

	<u>March 31, 2014</u>	<u>December 31, 2013</u>
Due from related parties:		
MAC	\$ 358,226	\$ 358,226
	<u>\$ 358,226</u>	<u>\$ 358,226</u>
Due to related parties:		
John Jurasin	\$ 841,328	\$ 842,303
Robert R. Gray	50,606	50,606
David M. Klausmeyer	12,193	12,193
David R. Strawn	12,193	12,193
	<u>\$ 916,320</u>	<u>\$ 917,295</u>

MAC

Amber is partially owned by MAC, an affiliate of the Company’s lender, MBL, and Radiant uses the proportionate consolidation method to consolidate Amber. JOG, Radiant’s wholly owned subsidiary, pays for goods and services on behalf of Amber and passes those charges on to Amber through intercompany billings. Periodically, Amber will reimburse JOG for these expenses, or potentially pays for goods and services on behalf of JOG. These transactions are recorded as a due to/from Amber in JOG’s records and as a due to/from JOG in Amber’s records. Due to the fact that Radiant only consolidates its proportionate share of balance sheet and income statement amounts, the portion of the amount due from Amber related to the other interest owner does not eliminate and is carried as amounts due from Amber until the balance is settled through a cash payment. Due from related party was \$358,226 as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

John Jurasin

Effective March 2010, Radiant assigned certain legacy overriding royalty interests in various projects, including the Baldwin AMI, the Coral, Ruby and Diamond Project, the Aquamarine Project, and the Ensminger Project to a related party entity owned by John M. Jurasin. Radiant retained its working interests in these projects. Additionally, Radiant assigned its working interest in a project, Charenton, to the related party entity. Radiant did not receive any proceeds for the conveyances and the interests assigned had a historical cost basis of \$0.

From time to time, John Jurasin advances the Company various amounts in order to pay operating expenses, with no formal repayment terms. The total balance due on these advances was \$103,903 and \$104,878, respectively, as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Additionally, two notes totaling \$1,049,000 were issued to Mr. Jurasin in lieu of payment of dividends from JOG, which in turn represented funds advanced by JOG to its subsidiaries Amber and RLE to fund operations. Interest is accrued at a rate of 4% per year. The first note of \$884,000, issued on August 5, 2010, matured on May 31, 2013. The additional note for \$165,000, issued on October 12, 2010, is due and payable on demand at any time subsequent to the repayment in full of all outstanding indebtedness of the Credit Facilities (Note 4). The balance due on these notes totaled \$737,425 as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Accrued interest on these notes was \$142,360 and \$125,015, respectively, as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

David R. Strawn and David M. Klausmeyer

Mr. Strawn and Mr. Klausmeyer, shareholders of the Company, each loaned the Company a total of \$12,193 between March 2002 and June 2005. The notes accrue interest at 8% per annum. The total accrued interest was \$37,256 and \$36,056, respectively, as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Interest expense on these notes was \$1,200 for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Robert M. Gray

As of March 31, 2014, Mr. Gray was owed \$50,606 for consulting services rendered prior to becoming an employee of Radiant. This liability is non-interest bearing.

Note 13 – Commitments and Contingencies

Contingencies

From time to time, the Company may be a plaintiff or defendant in a pending or threatened legal proceeding arising in the normal course of its business. All known liabilities are accrued based on our best estimate of the potential loss. While the outcome and impact of currently pending legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company's management and legal counsel believe that the resolution of these proceedings through settlement or adverse judgment will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated operating results, financial position or cash flows.

Radiant, as an owner or lessee and operator of oil and gas properties, is subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to discharge of materials into, and protection of, the environment. These laws and regulations may, among other things, impose liability on the lessee under an oil and gas lease for the cost of pollution clean-up resulting from operations and subject the lessee to liability for pollution damages. In some instances, the Company may be directed to suspend or cease operations in the affected area. The Company maintains insurance coverage, which it believes is customary in the industry, although the Company is not fully insured against all environmental risks.

Commitments

In connection with our reorganization in August 2010, Radiant entered into the following agreements:

- An employment agreement with Mr. Jurasin, who will continue as President and Chief Executive Officer, under which he will receive \$250,000 per year as base salary.
- Employment agreements and a consulting agreement under which Radiant was obligated to pay approximately \$549,000 for each of the first, second year, and third years after the closing of the reorganization agreement. One of the employees covered by the employment agreement resigned in March 2011 and two other employees resigned in December 2011. Although, no lawsuit was filed, the Company has accrued \$50,000 as a possible settlement.

The Company currently leases office space in Houston, Texas. The Company's office lease expired on September 30, 2012 and is currently paid on month-to-month basis. Lease expense for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$13,208.

Note 14 – Subsequent Events

On April 28, 2014, the Company entered into an agreement with an outside agency for investor relations counsel services. As part of the payment for these services, the Company granted 25,000 shares of our common stock upon execution of the agreement, with a fair value of \$42,500, and will issue 25,000 shares of our common stock for their services at the beginning of each six-month period as their services continue.

On May 12, 2014, \$18,000 of the principal amount of the Asher Convertible Notes (see Note 4 above) was converted into 59,016 shares of the Company's common stock. The fair value of these shares at date of issuance was \$35,410.

On May 13, 2014, 2,000,000 shares of our common stock, with a fair value of \$1,200,000, were re-issued to Mr. John Jurasin. The shares had been previously forfeited by John Thomas Financial to Mr. Jurasin as part of an equity compensation settlement.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and with our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition to historical condensed consolidated financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates, and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. For a discussion of limitations in the measurement of certain of our user metrics, see the section entitled "Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Results of Operations

Effective October 9, 2013, the Company acquired oil and gas properties located in Louisiana and Mississippi (the "Vidalia Properties"). Predecessor references herein relate to the operations of the Vidalia Properties.

The Company has presented the Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 for the Predecessor.

RADIANT OIL AND GAS, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Successor	Predecessor
	For the Three	For the Three
	Months Ended	Months Ended
	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013
OIL AND GAS REVENUES	\$ 786,359	\$ 754,455
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Lease operating expenses	690,170	850,812
Depreciation, depletion, amortization and accretion	59,107	8,953
General and administrative expense	1,194,290	-
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	1,943,567	859,765
OPERATING LOSS	(1,157,208)	(105,310)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):		
Unrealized gain on stock and warrant derivative liabilities	2,148,684	-
Unrealized loss on commodity derivative	(268,440)	-
Realized gain on commodity derivative	22,710	-
Interest expense	(1,160,042)	-
Other income and expense, net	251,323	-
Total other income	994,235	-
NET LOSS	\$ (162,973)	\$ (105,310)
NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE - Basic and diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.08)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING -		
Basic and diluted	13,939,588	1,240,102

Three Months ended March 31, 2014 Compared to Three Months ended March 31, 2013

Oil and gas revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2014 increased by \$31,904 or 4% compared to the three months ended March 31, 2013. This increase was due to a 10% increase in oil volumes offset by a 6% decrease in the oil price.

Lease operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 decreased by \$160,642 or 19% compared to the three months ended March 31, 2013. This decrease was due to the Company operating the wells more efficiently than the previous operators.

Depreciation, depletion, amortization, and accretion expense for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$49,802 compared to \$8,953 for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$1,194,290 compared to \$0- for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The increase is a result of no general and administrative expenses existing for the Predecessor for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

The net gain on derivative liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$1,902,954, which included a loss on commodity derivative of \$245,730, compared to \$0- for the year three months ended March 31, 2013. The change in gains and losses on derivatives is due to derivatives existing in 2014 for the Successor as compared to no derivatives existing for the Predecessor in 2013.

Interest expense for the year three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$1,160,042 compared to \$0- for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The interest expense for 2014 is a result of notes payable outstanding during the period ended March 31, 2014. No debt existed for the Predecessor in 2013.

Other income for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$251,323 compared to \$0- for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The other income from 2014 is primarily related to the \$245,000 received from Black Gold, Inc. upon termination of a project agreement.

The above mentioned factors resulted in a net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2014 of \$162,973 compared to net loss of \$105,310 for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2014, we have current assets of \$2,235,669, current liabilities of \$12,536,076, and a working capital deficit of \$10,300,407.

At March 31, 2014, our cash and cash equivalents balance totaled \$175,884 and restricted cash balance was \$984,535.

Effective February 28, 2014, the Company amended the Centaurus Credit Agreement. As part of the amendment, the maturity date was extended to December 2018. The amendment increased the maximum aggregate commitment from the Lenders from \$39,788,000 to \$41,748,000, increased the principal amount to be repaid by the Company from \$40,600,000 to \$42,600,000 plus any deferred interest, and increased the net profits interest conveyed to Centaurus on specific proved wells from 12.5% to 17.0%. Advances under the Facility are available with the approval of the agent. There was no change in interest rate or collateral. Repayments are based on cash flow from Company properties and are schedule to begin no later than October 2014. Should the Company default on any provisions in the Agreement other than those existing defaults, the Lenders have the right to exercise its rights and remedies against the Company, as contained in the facility agreement and the amendment.

We have significant cash requirements over the next twelve months and anticipate that our cash and cash equivalents balances in conjunction with advances under the Credit Agreement will substantially allow us to meet our capital investment requirements.

We intend to raise additional funds through public or private sale of our equity or debt securities, borrowing funds from private or institutional lenders, the sale of our interests in certain oil and gas properties, or farm out of oil and gas interests. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of debt securities, these securities would have rights that are senior to holders of our common stock and could contain covenants that restrict our operations. Any additional equity financing would likely be substantially dilutive to our stockholders, particularly given the prices at which our common stock has been recently trading. In addition, if we raise additional funds through the sale of equity securities, new investors could have rights superior to our existing stockholders. This could also result in a decrease in the fair market value of our equity securities because our assets would be owned by a larger pool of outstanding equity.

If we raise funds through a farm-out or sale of any of our rights, we may be required to relinquish, on terms that are not favorable to us, our interests in those projects. Our need to raise capital soon may require us to accept terms that may harm our business or be disadvantageous to our current stockholders, particularly in light of the current illiquidity. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining additional funding, or selling or farming-out assets, in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

If we are unable to raise sufficient additional funds when needed, we would be required to further reduce operating expenses by, among other things, curtailing significantly or delaying or eliminating part or all of our operations and properties.

Our ability to obtain additional financing is dependent on the state of the debt and/or equity markets, and such markets' reception of us and our offering terms. In addition, our ability to obtain financing may be dependent on the status of our oil and gas exploration activities, which cannot be predicted. There is no assurance that capital in any form will be available to us, and if available, that it will be on terms and conditions that are acceptable.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Data

	Successor	Predecessor
	For the Three	For the Three
	Months Ended	Months Ended
	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013
Net cash used in operating activities	\$ (1,116,713)	\$ (92,523)
Net cash used in investing activities	(376,466)	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	974,481	92,523

Cash Used in Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1,116,713 for the year three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$92,523 for the year three months ended March 31, 2013. During the three months three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company used its cash mostly to fund its operations related to the newly acquired oil & gas properties as well as other overhead expenses.

Cash Used in Investing Activities

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, net cash used in investing activities of \$376,466 was the result of development of the Company's oil and gas properties amounting to \$1,453,203 and purchase of equipment amounting to \$5,953 with the fund released from restricted cash in the amount of \$1,082,690.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, there was no cash used in investing activities.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, net cash provided by financing activities of \$974,481 was primarily attributable to borrowings on notes payable, amounting to \$980,001 net of Original issue discount of \$19,999 and proceeds from issuance of common stock of \$8,750 offset by payments on notes payable amounting to \$13,295.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, net cash provided by financing activities of \$92,523 was attributable to Predecessor contributions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

For the period of from January 1, 2014 to March 31, 2014, the Company did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles of the United States ("U.S. GAAP"). U.S. GAAP represents a comprehensive set of accounting and disclosure rules and requirements. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions, however, in the past the estimates and assumptions have been materially accurate and have not required any significant changes. Should we experience significant changes in the estimates or assumptions that would cause a material change to the amounts used in the preparation of our financial statements, material quantitative information will be made available to investors as soon as it is reasonably available.

We believe the following critical accounting policies, among others, affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Deferred Financing Charges

Deferred finance charges consist of legal and other fees incurred in connection with the issuance of notes payable and are capitalized and shown in the consolidated balance sheets. These charges are being amortized using the effective interest method over the term of the related notes.

Oil and Natural Gas Properties

The Company accounts for its oil and natural gas producing activities using the full cost method of accounting, as prescribed by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Under this method, subject to a limitation based on estimated value, all costs incurred in the acquisition, exploration, and development of proved oil and natural gas properties, including internal costs directly associated with acquisition, exploration, and development activities, the costs of abandoned properties, dry holes, geophysical costs, and annual lease rentals are capitalized within a full cost pool. Costs of production and general and administrative corporate costs unrelated to acquisition, exploration, and development activities are expensed as incurred.

Costs associated with unevaluated properties are capitalized as oil and natural gas properties, but are excluded from the amortization base during the evaluation period. When the Company determines whether the property has proved recoverable reserves or not, or if there is an impairment, the costs are transferred into the amortization base and thereby become subject to amortization. The Company evaluates unevaluated properties for inclusion in the amortization base at least annually. The Company assesses properties on an individual basis, or as a group, if properties are individually insignificant. The assessment includes consideration of the following factors, among others: intent to drill; remaining lease term; geological and geophysical evaluations; drilling results and activity; the assignment of proved reserves; and the economic viability of development if proved reserves are assigned. During any period in which these factors indicate that there would be impairment, or if proved reserves are assigned to a property, the cumulative costs incurred to date for such property are transferred to the amortizable base and are then subject to amortization.

Capitalized costs included in the amortization base are depleted using the units of production method based on proved reserves. Depletion is calculated using the capitalized costs included in the amortization base, including estimated asset retirement costs, plus the estimated future expenditures to be incurred in developing proved reserves, net of estimated salvage values.

The Company includes its pro rata share of assets and proved reserves associated with an investment that is accounted for on a proportional consolidation basis with assets and proved reserves that the Company directly owns. The Company calculates the depletion and net book value of the assets based on the full cost pool’s aggregated values. Accordingly, the ratio of production to reserves, depletion and impairment associated with a proportionally consolidated investment does not represent a pro rata share of the depletion, proved reserves, and impairment of the proportionally consolidated venture.

The net book value of all capitalized oil and natural gas properties, less related deferred income taxes, is subject to a full cost ceiling limitation which is calculated quarterly. Under the ceiling limitation, costs may not exceed an aggregate of the present value of future net revenues attributable to proved oil and natural gas reserves discounted at 10 percent using current prices, plus the lower of cost or market value of unproved properties included in the amortization base, plus the cost of unevaluated properties, less any associated tax effects. Any excess of the net book value, less related deferred tax benefits, over the ceiling is written off as expense. Impairment expense recorded in one period may not be reversed in a subsequent period even though higher oil and gas prices may have increased the ceiling applicable to the subsequent period.

Sales or other dispositions of oil and natural gas properties are accounted for as adjustments to capitalized costs, with no gain or loss recorded unless the ratio of cost to proved reserves would significantly change.

As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had oil and gas property balance of \$21,756,477 and \$19,758,681, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically reviews non-oil and gas long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset’s estimated fair value and its book value. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and the year ended December 31, 2013, there was no impairment recorded by the Company.

Derivative Financial Instruments

For derivative financial instruments that are accounted for as liabilities, the derivative instrument is initially recorded at its fair value and is then re-valued at each reporting date, with changes in the fair value reported as charges or credits to non-operating income. For warrants and convertible derivative financial instruments, the Company uses the Binomial Option Pricing model to value the derivative instruments at inception and subsequent valuation dates. The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is re-assessed at the end of each reporting period, in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. Derivative instrument liabilities are classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument could be required within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. The Company follows the “sales method” of accounting for oil and natural gas revenues, and recognizes revenue on all natural gas or crude oil sold to purchasers, regardless of whether the sales are proportionate to our ownership in the property. A receivable or liability is recognized only to the extent that the Company has an imbalance on a specific property greater than the expected remaining proved reserves.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company does not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Item 3 . Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are a smaller reporting company and therefore not required to provide this information.

Item 4 . Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports, filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable and not absolute assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. In addition, the design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, a control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

As required by the SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level due to the material weaknesses described below.

A material weakness is a control deficiency (within the meaning of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Auditing Standard No. 2) or combination of control deficiencies that result in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. Management has identified the following two material weaknesses which have caused management to conclude that as of March 31, 2014 our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective at the reasonable assurance level:

- a) *Inadequate segregation of duties* . In various accounting processes, applications and systems we did not design, establish and maintain procedures and controls to adequately segregate job responsibilities for initiating, authorizing and recording transactions, nor were there adequate mitigating or monitoring controls in place.
- b) *Inadequate policies and procedures* . We did not design, establish and maintain effective GAAP compliant financial accounting policies and procedures.
- c) *Inadequate personnel* . We had a lack of experienced personnel with relevant accounting experience, due in part to our limited financial resources.

To address these material weaknesses, management performed additional analyses and other procedures to ensure that the financial statements included herein fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

Changes in internal controls over financial reporting

Our internal control over financial reporting has not changed during the fiscal quarter covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In addition, we identified material weaknesses related to our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings, which arise, in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business. We are currently not aware of any such legal proceedings or claims that we believe will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or operating results.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

As a “smaller reporting company” as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, the Company is not required to provide information required by this Item.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Other than described below, all securities sold by us during the quarter ended March 31, 2014 that were not registered under the Securities Act were previously disclosed in our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q or our current reports on Form 8-K. The Company claims an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act") for the private placement of these securities pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Act and/or Regulation D promulgated there under since, among other things, the transaction did not involve a public offering.

Asher Convertible Notes

During 2013, Radiant issued convertible promissory notes to Asher Enterprises Inc. (“Asher Convertible Notes”), for gross proceeds of \$95,000 at 8% interest, the notes are convertible into the Company’s common stock. The loans are convertible after 180 days from the date of issuance and until the later of maturity date or the date of payment of default amount. The conversion price equals to 61% of the average of the lowest 3 trading prices for the common stock during the ten trading day period ending on the latest complete trading day prior to the conversion date.

The notes were currently in default as of March 31, 2014. According to provisions of the credit agreement, in case of a default, the principal of the notes increases 150%.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the \$25,000 of the principal of the notes was converted into 25,615 shares of the Company common stock. As of March 31, 2014, the principal outstanding was \$117,500.

Bridge Loan Warrants

In August 2013, Radiant entered into a bridge loan agreement with various individuals, totaling \$600,000, along with warrants to purchase 1,500,000 shares of the Company’s common stock at \$0.01 per share. The related proceeds were received by Radiant on September 6, 2013, and as such, the aforementioned warrants were deemed to be issued on that date. The warrants have a contractual term of 5 years and vest immediately.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the holders exercised 875,000 warrants at exercise price of \$0.01. The fair value of remaining 625,000 warrants shares was calculated as \$343,527 at the balance sheet date of March 31, 2014.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

The discussion provided under the heading “Note 4 – Notes Payable” with respect to our default under the First Lien Credit Facility (“Centaurus Facility”), Senior Credit Facility of Amber (“Amber Credit Facility”) and Senior Credit Facility of RLE (“RLE Credit Facility”), as set forth in the Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on May 7, 2014 and in PART I, Item 1 of this Report, are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGN ATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

RADIANT OIL & GAS, INC.

Date: May 20, 2014

By: /s/ John M. Jurasin

John M. Jurasin, Chairman of the Board,
Chief Executive Officer (Duly Authorized, Principal
Executive Officer)

Date: May 20, 2014

/s/ C. Scott Wilson

C. Scott Wilson
Chief Financial Officer (Duly Authorized Principal
Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, John Jurasin, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Radiant Oil & Gas, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Dated: May 20, 2014

By: */s/ John Jurasin*

John Jurasin
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL AND ACCOUNTING OFFICER
PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE
SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, C. Scott Wilson, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Radiant Oil & Gas, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:

- a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this annual report is being prepared;
- b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
- d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;

5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

- a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls over financial reporting.

Dated: May 20, 2014

By: /s/ C. Scott Wilson

C. Scott Wilson
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Radiant Oil & Gas, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), John Jurasin, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1)The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2)The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 20, 2014

By: /s/ John Jurasin

John Jurasin
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Radiant Oil & Gas, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), C. Scott Wilson, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1)The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2)The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 20, 2014

By: /s/ C. Scott Wilson
C. Scott Wilson
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.